Data-driven Probabilistic Static Security Assessment for Power System Operation Using High-order Moments

Guanzhong Wang, Zhiyi Li, Feng Zhang, Ping Ju, Hao Wu, and Changsen Feng

Abstract—**In this letter, a new formulation of Lebesgue inte‐ gration is used to evaluate the probabilistic static security of power system operation with uncertain renewable energy gener‐ ation. The risk of power flow solutions violating any pre-de‐ fined operation security limits is obtained by integrating a semialgebraic set composed of polynomials. With the high-order mo‐ ments of historical data of renewable energy generation, the in‐ tegration is reformulated as a generalized moment problem which is then relaxed to a semi-definite program (SDP). Finally, the effectiveness of the proposed method is verified by numeri‐ cal examples.**

Index Terms—**Data-driven analysis, probabilistic static securi‐ ty assessment, power system operation, distributionally robust approach.**

I. INTRODUCTION

WITH rapid adoption of intermittent renewable energy sources, e.g., wind power and solar generation, uncertainties in renewable energy generation have been threatening the security of power system operation. Probabilistic stat‐ ic security assessment (PSSA) is a well-established way to characterize the influence of those uncertainties on power system operation [1]. The static security assessment is widely used to check the overvoltage/undervoltage condition of buses and the overload status of lines and transformers in the present condition of power system or some contingency operation points due to component outages or fluctuations of renewable energy generation in the near future. In this letter, we focus on the fluctuation and uncertainty of the renewable energy generation.

In common practices of power engineering, only partial

G. Wang, Z. Li, P. Ju, and H. Wu are with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China (e-mail: ee-wangguanzhong@zju.edu.cn; zhiyi@zju.edu.cn; pju@hhu.edu.cn; zjuwuhao@zju.edu.cn).

F. Zhang is with State Grid Zhejiang Electric Power Research Institute, Hangzhou 310014, China (e-mail: drfengzhang@163.com).

**JOURNAL OF MODERN POWER SYSTEMS
AND CLEAN ENERGY**

statistical properties, e. g., the mean or first-order moment, the variance or second-order moment and high-order mo‐ ment, are available for modeling stochastic renewable energy generation [2]. Thus, the probability distributions (PDs) of power system operation states are uncertain where it is of practical significance to evaluate the worst-case probability of overload or overvoltage/undervoltage condition among all the admissible PDs. To this end, the moment-based distributionally robust optimization methods are proposed in the lit‐ erature [3]. However, existing moment-based methods are on‐ ly applicable to either linear power flow equations or PDs with the first two moments, eventually compromising the trustworthiness of PSSA.

This letter aims to perform high-order (more than 2) moment-based distributionally robust probabilistic static security assessment (DR-PSSA) based on nonlinear power flow equations (NPFEs) with uncertain input parameters. The DR-PSSA is formulated as a generalized moment problem [4], which is then relaxed to a semi-definite program (SDP).

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

This section gives a brief introduction of the DR-PSSA problem in terms of a single continuous random parameter $q \in \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, where Δ is the range or set of random parameters.

Mathematically, when uncertain parameters are incorporat‐ ed into NPFEs, PSSA is aimed at evaluating the probability of normal power flow solutions violating any pre-defined op‐ eration security limits. $K(q)$ and $K_{bad}(q)$ are defined as the feasible solutions of power flow equations and the insecure solutions, i.e., at least one security limit is violated, pertinent to random variable *q* whose PD is P_q , respectively. Accordingly, PSSA is equivalent to the integration (Lebesgue integration) of *q* over $K_{bad}(q)$:

$$
Pr = \int_{K_{bad}(q)} dP_q
$$
 (1)

where *Pr* is the calculated probability that achieves a value between 0 and 1. Assuming that NPFEs are solvable for any $q \in \Delta$, the integration of *q* over $K(q)$ always equals 1.

As NPFEs are usually formulated in rectangle coordinate in the existing literature [1], and they can be expanded into a set of equivalent second polynomial inequalities with a doubled size, $K(q)$ and $K_{bad}(q)$ both can be represented as a semi-algebraic set of polynomials [4]. Suppose that

Manuscript received: October 16, 2019; accepted: January 18, 2021. Date of CrossCheck: January 18, 2021. Date of online publication: June 4, 2021.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 52007163) and in part by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2020M671718).

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribu‐ tion 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

C. Feng (corresponding author) is with the College of Information Engineer‐ ing, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310008, China (e-mail: fcs@zjut.edu.cn).

DOI: 10.35833/MPCE.2019.000106

$$
K(q) = \{q \in \Lambda, g_i(v, q) \ge 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots\}
$$
 (2)

where $\{g_i(\mathbf{v}, q) \ge 0, i = 1, 2, ...\}$ is a unified form of NPFEs; and $v = [e, f]$ ^T is the state vector consisting of real and imaginary parts of each bus voltage, i.e., *e* and *f*. The probability of any branch flow or bus voltage exceeding any security limit can be evaluated through representing $K_{bad}(q)$ as a set of inequalities in state variables. For example, to evaluate the undervoltage probability of each bus by comparing with its limit V_{i0} , $K_{bad}(q)$ can be stated as:

 $K_{bad}(q) = \{g_0(\nu) \geq 0\} \cap K(q) = \{q \in \Delta, g_i(\nu, q) \geq 0, i = 0, 1, ...\}$ (3) where $g_0(\mathbf{v}) = V_{i0}^2 - e_i^2 - f_i^2$, and e_i and f_i are the *i*th elements of *e* and *f*, respectively. e and f, respectively.
Next, we focus on how to calculate \overline{Pr} , i.e., the upper

probability over all the admissible PDs with given moments of power flow solutions in $K_{bad}(q)$. The operation risk can be of power flow solutions in $K_{bad}(q)$. The operation risk can be evaluated by \overline{Pr} . \overline{Pr} can be regarded as the optimum in the following semi-infinite linear optimization problem [5]:
 $\overline{Pr} = \sup \int_{\text{d}P} dP$

$$
\overline{Pr} = \sup_{P_{v,q}} \int_{K_{bad}(q)} \mathrm{d}P_{v,q} \tag{4}
$$

s.t.

$$
\int_{K(q)} dP_{\nu,q} = 1 \tag{5}
$$

$$
\int_{K(q)} q^{i} dP_{v,q} = m_{i} \quad i = 1, 2, ... \tag{6}
$$

where $P_{v,q}$ is the joint PD of v and q ; and m_i is the provided ith moment of *q*. The semi-infinite linear optimization problem (4) - (6) is referred to as the generalized moment problem [4].

Hence, DR-PSSA maximizes the integration on $K_{bad}(q)$, i.e., (4), by finding $P_{v,q}$ in the worst case supported on $K(q)$, i.e., (5) , while respecting the moment constraint, i.e., (6) . Moreover, if multiple violations are encountered, the violations can be directly added into the set $K_{bad}(q)$, which means that the proposed method can deal with the intersection set of multiple violations in the set $K_{bad}(q)$.

III. COMPUTATIONALLY TRACTABLE SDP RELAXATIONS

A. Reformulation of DR-PSSA

s.t.

First, define $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{v}^T, q]^T = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T$. DR-PSSA can be reformulated as an equivalent generalized moment problem through introducing an auxiliary measure *P* of *x* based on Theorem 3.1 in [6], where PD is regarded as a special instance of measures. The proposed DR-PSSA problem, i. e., $(4)-(6)$, is then reformulated as $(7)-(9)$, where (8) is the compact form of (5) and (6) when $m_0 = 1$.
 $\frac{\overline{Pr}}{\sqrt{Pr}} = \sup_{n=1}^{\infty}$

$$
\overline{Pr} = \sup_{P, P_x} \int_{K_{bad}(q)} dP \tag{7}
$$

$$
\int_{K(q)} q^i dP_x = m_i \quad i = 0, 1, ... \tag{8}
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\nP \le P_x \\
P \in M(K_{bad}(q)) \\
P_x \in M(K(q))\n\end{cases} \tag{9}
$$

where P_x is the PD of random variable q whose supporting set is $K(q)$; and $M(K(q))$ is the set of all possible PDs of *q*. $P \leq P_x$ means $\int_{K_s} dP \leq \int_{K_s} dP_x$ for any $K_s \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. $P_x \in M(K(q))$ means $\int_{K_c} dP_x = 0$ for any $K_c \cap K(q) = \emptyset$, $K_c \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. $P \in$ $M(K_{bad}(q))$ is defined in the same way as $P_x \in M(K(q))$.

B. SDP Relaxations

DR-PSSA can be approximated as \overline{Pr}_d by performing *d*-order SDP relaxations of $y_x = \{y_{xa}\}\$ and $y = \{y_a\}$, respectively, of which moment sequences correspond to *P^x* and *P* [7], as shown in (10)-(14). y_{xa} is the approximated moment with an order up to 2*d* for P_x , i.e., $y_{xa} = \int_{K(q)} x^a dP_x$, and $x^a = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2}$. \ldots $x_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq d$, where α_i is an integer and *d* is also an

integer larger than the degree of polynomials in *K*(*q*) and $K_{bad}(q)$. y_q is the approximated moment with an order up to 2*d* for *P*, i.e., $y_a = \int_{K_{bad}(q)} x^a dP$.

$$
\overline{Pr_d} = \sup(y_0) \tag{10}
$$

$$
s.t.
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n y_{x0} = 1 \\
 y_{x\alpha_i} = m_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(11)

$$
M_d(y_x - y) \ge 0 \tag{12}
$$

$$
\begin{cases} M_d(y) \ge 0 \\ M_{d-r_j}(y; g_j) \ge 0 \quad j = 0, 1, ... \end{cases}
$$
 (13)

$$
\begin{cases} M_d(y_x) \ge 0 \\ M_{d-r_j}(y_x; g_j) \ge 0 & j = 1, 2, ... \end{cases}
$$
 (14)

where y_0 is one of the decision variables, which denotes the probability or the security risk; $2r_j$ is the degree of polynomial g_j in $K(q)$ or $K_{bad}(q)$; and M_d and M_{d-r_j} are the moment matrix and localizing matrix [4], [7], respectively, whose entries are deterministic linear combinations of available mo‐ ment sequences. In addition, a larger *d* generally leads to a more accurate approximation of DR-PSSA while the order of given moments m_i ($i = 1, 2, ...$) is set to be smaller than 2*d* [6].

The processes of constructing SDP relaxations (10) - (14) for the generalized moment problem (7) - (9) are detailed as follows. The objective function (10) and linear constraints (11) are derived by substituting moment sequences *y* and y_x into (7) and (8), respectively. The semi-definite constraints (12) - (14) are sufficient conditions for satisfying (9) according to Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.2 in [6].

The proposed SDP relaxations lead to computationally tractable convex optimization problems. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, M_d is a $k \times k$ positive semi-definite matrix in *d*-order SDP relaxations, where $k = (2n+d)! / ((2n)!d!)$. Although the proposed method would suffer the curse of dimensionality, we can further take advantage of the inherent sparsity of NPFE to facilitate its implementation in large-scale applications [7]. Note that the sparsity-based method for optimal power flow prob‐ lem proposed in [7] cannot be directly invoked to tackle the curse of dimensionality of the proposed method, because un‐ certain parameters in this paper bring more complexity than those in [7]. Due to limited space, we will introduce the solution to tackle the curse of dimensionality of the proposed method in our future work.

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Numerical experiments are carried out based on the re‐ vised 4-bus system in [8] (corresponding to case4gs in the MATPOWER library), where bus 1 is the slack bus, all the loads in the original system are tripled, and the active power output of the generator at bus 4 is doubled. An uncertain ac‐ tive power generation source is added at bus 2, which fea‐ tures a continuous uniform distribution on the interval of $[-5 \text{ p.u., } 5 \text{ p.u.}]$. The degree of NPFEs is set to be 2 [7].

In this section, we intend to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method through setting the Monte Carlo simula‐ tion as a benchmark. To be specific, we calculate the proba‐ bility of voltage magnitude at bus 2 (denoted as V_2 in Fig. 1) being lower than a pre-defined limit V_{20} by the two methods. The solid and dashed lines in Fig. 1 denote the results pertinent to DR-PSSA with two- and four-order moments of the aforementioned uniform distribution, respectively, which indicate that the results will be less conservative if the order of moments becomes higher. Moreover, the dotted line in Fig. 1 depicts the simulation results obtained from 2000 scenarios of the uniform distributions, which is always a lower bound of DR-PSSA with various order moments. Thus, the accuracy of the results of the DR-PSSA is also confirmed.

Fig. 1. Probabilities with 2-order SDP relaxations and a uniform distribution.

In addition, all of the three probabilities stay at the same value when the voltage magnitude is lower than 0.85 p.u. or higher than 0.94 p.u., which means the operation interval of voltage magnitudes pertinent to the pre-defined active power variations, i.e., [-5 p.u., 5 p.u.], is [0.85 p.u., 0.94 p.u.].

V. CONCLUSION

A DR-PSSA method for static security assessment of pow‐ er system operation based on the partial moments of uncer‐ tain parameters is proposed in this letter. The proposed meth‐ od improves the ability of moment-based distributionally ro‐ bust optimization in dealing with nonlinear models and highorder moment, making the static security assessment of pow‐

er system operation more robust to uncertainties. Numerical examples show that distribution uncertainties in power system operation are effectively incorporated in DR-PSSA. As the follow-up study, we will explore more structural information, e. g., symmetry and unimodality, embedded in the PDs of uncertain parameters to improve the computation perfor‐ mance of the proposed method.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Le, A. Berizzi, and C. Bovo, "A probabilistic security assessment approach to power systems with integrated wind resources," *Re‐ newable Energy*, vol. 85, pp. 114-123, Jan. 2016.
- [2] A. Khosravi and S. Nahavandi, "An optimized mean variance estimation method for uncertainty quantification of wind power forecasts," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 61, pp. 446-454, Oct. 2014.
- [3] Q. Bian, H. Xin, Z. Wang *et al*., "Distributionally robust solution to the reserve scheduling problem with partial information of wind power," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2822- 2823, May 2015.
- [4] J. B. Lasserre, "A semidefinite programming approach to the generalized problem of moments," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 65-92, Jan. 2008.
- [5] D. Piga and A. Benavoli, "A unified framework for deterministic and probabilistic *d*-stability analysis of uncertain polynomial matrices," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 5437- 5444, Oct. 2017.
- [6] D. Henrion, J. B. Lasserre, and C. Savorgnan, "Approximate volume and integration for basic semialgebraic sets," *SIAM Review*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 722-743, Apr. 2009.
- [7] D. K. Molzahn and I. A. Hiskens, "Sparsity-exploiting moment-based relaxations of the optimal power flow problem," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 3168-3180, Jun. 2015.
- [8] J. J. Grainger and W. D. Stevenson, *Power System Analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994.

Guanzhong Wang received the B.Eng. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, in 2013 and 2019, respectively. He is currently working as a Post-doctor with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University. His research interests include power system stability analysis, renewable energy integration, and uncertainty analysis.

Zhiyi Li received the B.E. degree in electrical engineering from Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, in 2011, the M.S. degree in electrical engineering from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, in 2014, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from the Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, USA, in 2017. From August 2017 to May 2019, he was a Senior Research Associate with Robert W. Galvin Center for Electricity Innovation, Illinois Institute of Technology. Since June 2019, he has been with the Col‐ lege of Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University as a Tenure-Track Assis‐ tant Professor. His research interests include optimization and control theory in power systems.

Feng Zhang received the B.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering, in 2014 and 2019, respectively, from the School of Electrical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. He is currently an Engineer with State Grid Zhejiang Electric Power Research Institute, Hangzhou, China. His research interests include AC/DC power systems stability analysis and control.

Ping Ju received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from Southeast University, Nanjing, China, in 1982 and 1985, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. From 1994 to 1995, he was an Alexander-von Humboldt Fel‐ low with the University of Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany. He is currently a Professor of electrical engineering at Hohai University, Nanjing, China, and Zhejiang University. His research interests include modeling and control of power system with integration of renewable generation.

Hao Wu received the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Zhejiang

University, Hangzhou, China. Since 2002, he has been with the Faculty of Zhejiang University. His current research interests include power system stability analysis, load modeling, cascading failure, and polynomial chaos ex‐ pansion.

Changsen Feng received the B. E. degree in electrical engineering from

Shandong University, Jinan, China, in 2013, and the Ph.D. degree from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, in 2019. He joined the faculty of the Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China, in 2019, and is currently an Associate Professor with the College of Information Engineering. His research interests include optimization and control theory in power systems.